AGRICULTURE.

1.—Area, yield and value of principal Field Crops in Canada 1911 and 1912—concluded.

Crops.	Area.	Yield.	Total yield.	Weight per	Aver-	Total value.
C10pbi		acre,		meas- ured bush.	price per bush.	
	Acres.	Bush.	Bush.	Lb.	\$	\$
Beans	60,630 59,800	19·06 17·40		58·30 59·05	$1.920 \\ 2.200$	
Corn for husking 1911 1912	$316,104 \\ 292,850$	59·39 56·58	18,772,700 16,569,800	50·31 55·67	·648 ·620	
Potatoes1911 1912	459,097 472,400	143 · 82 172 · 19	66,023,000 81,343,000	<u>-</u>	·600 ·390	
Turnips, etc1911 1912	227, 141 217, 400	373 · 92 402 · 51	84,933,000 87,505,000		·230 ·230	
		Tons.	Tons.			
Hay and clover1911 1912	7,903,242 7,633,600	1·61 1·47			11·55 11·07	
Fodder Corn1911 1912	$285,321 \\ 278,740$	$9.92 \\ 10.26$			4·84 4·74	
Sugar beets1911 1912	20,878 19,000	8·66 10·74			6·58 5·00	
Alfalfa	101,781 111,300	$2 \cdot 24 \\ 2 \cdot 79$			9·86 11·65	

Table 1 shows that in 1912 the estimated total area under field crops in Canada was 32,449,420 acres, yielding a harvest valued, according to average local market prices, at \$511,951,100. In 1911 the area under field crops was 32,853,074 acres, with a harvest valued on a similar basis at \$565,711,600; so that in 1912, for the first time since the present crop-reporting system was instituted in 1908, the total area under field crops showed some slight reduction as compared with the previous year. Of the decrease, amounting to 403,654 acres, or 1.2 p. c., 326,000 acres under fall wheat were destroyed by the severe winter of 1911-12, and it is not difficult to account for the remaining 77,654 acres upon consideration of the exceedingly unfavourable sowing season of 1912. Thousands of farmers were in fact unable to sow wheat at all, and had either to sow late crops or let their land lie fallow. For most of the crops the average prices in 1912 were less than in 1911, and this mainly accounts for the decrease in their total value, viz., from \$565,711,600 in 1911 to \$511,951,100 in 1912.

In the three Northwest provinces the acreage under oats was increased by 350,697 and under barley by 48,062, while the acreage under wheat was less by 339,493. This is shown by Table 2, which gives comparative figures of area and yield for wheat, barley and oats